

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 01/10/2002 2951 10/041,669 Satoshi Fujioka Q68022 EXAMINER 04/23/2004 7590 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC TRAN, HUAN HUU 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT Washington, DC 20037-3213 2861

DATE MAILED: 04/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/041,669	FUJIOKA, SATOSHI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Huan H. Tran	2861	
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	9SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3i after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) data. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1,136(a). In no event, however, may a relation. 8 ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir by period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed of 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)[3)□ Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice of the second secon	This action is non-final. allowance except for formal matter	, and the second	nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-27 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the E 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) objected to n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar ecorrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A he priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	948) Paper No(s	stiffmany (FTO-413) s)/Mail Datei nformal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)

Application/Control Number: 10/041,669

Art Unit: 2861

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 1, 12/1, 27/1; 2, 4/2, 22/2, 5/; 6-11, 13-15, 16-20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 12/1, 21/1, 27/1, 23 are indefinite since it does not define any structure to support the functional wherein limitations. See In re MASON, 114 USPQ 127 (CCPA 1957) (Functional statement cannot serve to distinguish claims, which are not process claims, from reference since it does not define any structure).

The sucking unit recited in the claims is a flat plate 215 having a plurality of ports 215a-c as described in the specification (see Figs 11 and 12 and the detailed description thereof). As such, the suction unit cannot support the functional wherein limitation.

Claim 2, 4/2, 5/2, 22/2 are indefinite for lack of recitation in the claim of sufficient structure to support the opening and closing of the shutter.

Claim 6, "the suction means" lacks antecedent basis. Furthermore, the suction unit is described in the specification as a flat plate 215 having a plurality of ports. As such, it cannot be seen how it can have a sucking force.

Claim 13, the definition of the "suction unit" is inconsistent with that of the suction unit comprising a flat plate 215 with a plurality of ports as defined in the specification.

Claim 16 and dependent claims thereof are indefinite for lack of recitation in the claim of structure to support the functional wherein clauses. See In re MASON, 114 USPQ 127 (CCPA 1957) (Functional statement cannot serve to distinguish claims, which are not process claims, from reference since it does not define any structure). Specifically, the recited

Application/Control Number: 10/041,669

Art Unit: 2861

"plate" and "vacuum" do not provide structural support for the recited wherein clause.

Claim 19 is indefinite for lack of recitation in the claim of sufficient structure to support the limitation "wherein the shutter opens and closes the plurality of ports by relatively moving with respect to the plate"

Claims 24 and 25, "the suction means" lacks antecedent basis.

Furthermore, the suction unit is described in the specification as a flat plate 215 having a plurality of ports. As such, it cannot be seen how it can have a sucking force.

Claim 26, "the suction means" lacks antecedent basis. Moreover, the definition of the suction unit in the claim is different from that of the suction unit 215 given in the specification.

MPEP 2173.05(g) states:

A functional limitation is an attempt to define something by what it does, rather than by what it is (e.g., as evidenced by its specific structure or specific ingredients). There is nothing inherently wrong with defining some part of an invention in functional terms. Functional language does not, in and of itself, render a claim improper. In re Swinehart, 439 F.2d 210, 169 USPQ 226 (CCPA 1971). (Emphasis added).

A functional limitation must be evaluated and considered, just like any other limitation of the claim, for what it fairly conveys to a person of ordinary skill in the pertinent art in the context in which it is used. A functional limitation is often used in association with an element, ingredient, or step of a process to define a particular capability or purpose that is served by the recited element, ingredient or step. (Emphasis added).

Application/Control Number: 10/041,669 Page 4

Art Unit: 2861

In this respect, it is submitted that the functional language found in the claims is not used in association with an element to define a particular capability or purpose that is served by the recited element.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huan H. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-2261. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F with Monday off, from 7:30am to 6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Huan H. Tran Primary Examiner Art Unit 2861

hht 04/16/04